

1 HEATHER E. WILLIAMS, CA Bar #122664  
Federal Defender  
2 ERIN SNIDER, CA Bar #304781  
Assistant Federal Defender  
3 Office of the Federal Defender  
2300 Tulare Street, Suite 330  
4 Fresno, CA 93721-2226  
Telephone: (559) 487-5561  
5 Fax: (559) 487-5950

6 Attorneys for Defendant  
FREDRICK F. DAWSON  
7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 FREDRICK F. DAWSON,

15 Defendant.  
16

Case No. 5:22-cr-00001-CDB

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS  
CONFERENCE; [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER**

Date: October 3, 2023

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Christopher D. Baker

17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties through their respective  
18 counsel, Special Assistant United States Attorney Chan Hee Chu, counsel for plaintiff, and  
19 Assistant Federal Defender Erin Snider, counsel for Fredrick F. Dawson, that the Court may  
20 continue the status conference currently scheduled for August 1, 2023, at 10:00 a.m. to October  
21 3, 2023, at 10:00 a.m. before the Honorable Christopher D. Baker.

22 On June 3, 2022, the government filed an Information charging Mr. Dawson with one  
23 count of theft of government property. *See* ECF No. 7, Case No. 1:22-cr-00107-ADA-1. The  
24 magistrate judge thereafter dismissed the Information without prejudice on the basis of a Speedy  
25 Trial Act violation. *See* ECF No. 18, Case No. 1:22-cr-00107-ADA-1. The defense filed a timely  
26 appeal to the district court judge, arguing that the magistrate judge erred in dismissing the case  
27 without prejudice. *See* ECF No. 20, Case No. 1:22-cr-00107-ADA-1. As of December 5, 2022,  
28 the appeal has been fully briefed and the parties are awaiting a ruling from the district judge. *See*

ECF No. 29, Case No. 1:22-cr-00107-ADA-1.

Meanwhile, the government refiled the charge against Mr. Dawson, which is the instant case. Because the pending appeal may impact the government's ability to proceed with this prosecution, the parties agree that, in the interest of efficiency, this case should trail the pending appeal.

In addition to the pending appeal, this case involves voluminous discovery—approximately 3,880 Bates-marked pages. Defense counsel requires time to review discovery and consult with her client. Defense counsel believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Based on the above, the parties agree that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act, and the parties agree that, for the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period August 1, 2023, to October 3, 2023, inclusive, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S. C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

**IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

Respectfully submitted,

PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
United States Attorney

Date: July 26, 2023

/s/ Chan Hee Chu  
CHAN HEE CHU  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorney for Plaintiff

HEATHER E. WILLIAMS  
Federal Defender

Date: July 26, 2023

/s/ Erin Snider  
ERIN SNIDER  
Assistant Federal Defender  
Attorney for Defendant  
FREDRICK F. DAWSON

**ORDER**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.** The status currently scheduled for August 1, 2023, at 10:00 a.m. is hereby continued to October 3, 2023, at 10:00 a.m. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 1, 2023, to October 3, 2023, inclusive, is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **July 26, 2023**

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE